

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-4262

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/939,064	09/29/97	KAMACHI		Т	S0	NY-P7815
Г		LM02/0806	_	EXAMINER		
PHILIP M SHAW		ľ		NGUYEN, T		
LIMBACH AND LIMBACH						
2001 FERRY 1	BUILDING			ART UN	IT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 08/06/98

2773

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/939,064

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Thomas Nguyen

Group Art Unit 2773

KAMACHI

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for form in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay#935 C.D. 1	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expiritoring the mailing date of this communication. Failure to response application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of CFR 1.136(a).	ond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claim	
	is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement
 X See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revenue	is approved disapproved. is approved disapproved. if 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). priority documents have been if an approved disapproved.
Attachment(s) ☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	5
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE	FOLLOWING PAGES

Serial Number: 08/939,064

Art Unit: 2773

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1,5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elliott et al. US Patent 5,621,904.

2. As per claim 1,5-6: Elliott discloses a system and method of an image display a main window for displaying main information and a sub window for displaying accompanying information associated with main information, and automatically arrangement changing the display position move to main window within a preset predetermined value, and arranging of sub window to a position adjacent to main window (col.2, line 40 to col.3, line 30; FIG.2), but Elliott does not teaches user-specified position for sub window move to main window. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art at the time of invention to includes user interface which system for moving a display position of sub window upon user-specified position, because it

Serial Number: 08/939,064

Art Unit: 2773

allows user composition of screen layout.

3. As per claim 2: Recite from claim 1, Elliott discloses a system for display a sub window adjacent to main window within a preset predetermined value, but Elliott's system discloses automatic arrangement the horizontal line in reserve order which is alignment on a same bottom side of sub window with bottom side of main window (FIG.2). However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art at the time of invention for change sub window coordinate to alignment the upper sides instead lower side of main window and sub window, because organizing the display window this way in some cases may maximize the display area.

Claim 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Elliott* et al. US Patent 5,621,904 in view of Suzuki et al. US Patent 5,736,982.

- 4. As per claim 3: Recite from claim 1, but Elliott's video system does not discloses the main window displays a 3D Virtual Reality and sub windows display a chat perform via an avatar. However Suzuki teaches present invention system relates to a virtual space which allows avatar freely move to desired position in a shared in 3D virtual space (col.1, line 5-45, FIG.25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art at the time of invention to combine Elliott's position windows display and Suzuki's disclosures for obtaining an image display which main window in 3D virtual reality space and sub window of a movable chat avatar, because this would enhance system performance and usability.
- 5. As per claim 4: Recite from claim 3, Suzuki's system describes in virtual world 3D graphic data network such as LAN and perform two-way communication with the server which

3

Tw

Serial Number: 08/939,064

Art Unit: 2773

described in VRML.

Conclusion

6.. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure

Santos-Gomez et al., US patent (5,771,042) discloses system which provide user interface to controlling the windows display.

Shiio et al., US patent (5,491,743) discloses system which provide 3D Virtual Reality of avatars in conference room.

Takeda et al., US patent (5,191,644) discloses system for controlling multiwindows.

Mariani et al., US patent (5,577,187) discloses system for controlling the display of windows in vetically or horizontally.

7. Responses to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231. If applicant desires to fax a response, (703) 308-9051 may be used for formal communications or (703) 305-9724 for informal or draft communications. Please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT" for informal facsimile communications. For after final responses, please label "AFTER FINAL" or "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE" on the document. Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

5

Serial Number: 08/939,064

Art Unit: 2773

- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to **Thomas Nguyen**, whose telephone number is (703) 308-7240. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 8:00 5:30 ET. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Matthew M. Kim, can be reached at (703) 305-3821.
- 12. Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [Matt.Kim@uspto.gov]. All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark Office on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.
- 13. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Thomas T. Nguyen

July 30, 1998

RAYMOND J. BAYERL PRIMARY EXAMINER ART LINIT 2773